

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/805,307	03/22/2004	Fumiharu Nakayama	016907-1632	9166	
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 04/02/200 LARDNER LLP	9	EXAM	IINER	
SUITE 500			RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R		
3000 K STREI WASHINGTO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/805,307 NAKAYAMA, FUMIHARU

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CF R1 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If NO particle drow, the maximum statutory protein and the state of the state	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Js 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
A) Claim(s) 1-4 and 13-15 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-4 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according any application to the Applicant way not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Theorem 1.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Cortified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Sbr08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/805,307 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments are based on newly

added limitation, and these limitations require further consideration from the examiner.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on

1/16/2009 has been entered

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1-3 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Nakamura (JP 2002-086854, all citations from the machine translation) in view of

Lueker (US 6,134,105), Tamagaki et al. (US 6,040,924) and Talwar (US 5,117,505).

(1) regarding claim 1:

Art Unit: 2625

Nakamura '854 discloses an image forming apparatus (1 in Fig. 1) comprising:

a main body of the image forming apparatus (It is evident in Fig. 1 that the printing apparatus has a body);

a wireless LAN module that is provided inside a rear surface of the main body of the image forming apparatus (Abstract, SOLUTION, lines 3-7, paragraph [0014], lines 1-2 and 112, in Fig. 1, where the control circuit contains the wireless LAN and is located at the back of the printer as could be referenced by looking at Fig 1 and looking at the control panel 113, generally at the front of a printer so users can have easy access to it);

an antenna that is provided on the rear surface of the main body of the image forming apparatus (111 in Fig. 1, where the antenna is located on the back of the printer if you are looking at it from the right side of the figure where the control panel 113 is); and

a cable that connects the wireless LAN module and the antenna with a shortest distance (as can be shown in Fig. 1, antenna 111 and control circuit 112 are close together, it is inherent that a cable should be use for connecting an antenna with something else, in this case a wireless LAN, since an antenna by itself does not performs any functionality and by looking at the closeness of the two components it is apparent for the examiner that the shortest distance of cable should be used, because it would be unnecessary the use of extra cable for such a short connection).

Nakamura '854 discloses all the subject matter as described above except for the image forming apparatus having two antennas.

Art Unit: 2625

However, Lueker '105 teaches the image forming apparatus having two antennas (21 in Fig. 1 and column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 1, where the printer has three antennas attached (two included) for data communication).

Having a system of Nakamura '854 reference and then given the well-established teaching of Lueker '105 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image forming apparatus of Nakamura '854 to include the image forming apparatus having two antennas as taught by Lueker '105 because it would be desirable to have available a single, easily transported command unit which provides a fully functional, easily deployed and immediately operable communications and information transfer capability to users in remote locations. This command center would replicate and provide the functionality of a home agency information and computing system to a user at a remote site (column 1, lines 57-63).

Nakamura '854 and Lueker '105 disclose all the subject matter as described above except a reversing automatic document feeder that is provided on an upper part of the main body of the image forming apparatus;

However, Tamagaki '924 teaches a reversing automatic document feeder (3 in Fig. 1) that is provided on an upper part of the main body of the image forming apparatus (as can be seen from Fig. 1 the feeder is in the upper part of the main body 1);

Having a system of Nakamura '854 and Lueker '105 and then given the wellestablished teaching of Tamagaki '924 reference, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2625

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image forming apparatus of Nakamura '854 and Lueker '105 to include a reversing automatic document feeder that is provided on an upper part of the main body of the image forming apparatus as taught by Tamagaki '924 because with this automatic feeder the scanning of documents becomes easier and faster that doing it manually, one by one, and also giving the advantage of double side scanning, thus making it user-friendlier.

Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the two antennas are disposed at positions where a first of the two antennas compensates for degradation in radiation characteristics of a second of the two antennas caused by the reversing automatic document feeder.

However, Talwar '505 teaches wherein the two antennas are disposed at positions where a first of the two antennas compensates for degradation in radiation characteristics of a second of the two antennas caused by an apparatus (column 11, lines 40-50, where by comparison of signals of each antenna the interference signal is calculated and cancelled, even though in this case it is not specifically an automatic feeder, it would be apparent for a person of ordinary skill in the art that the noise cancellation for any apparatus, would work the same way with an automatic feeder).

Having a system of Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 and then given the well-established teaching of Talwar '505 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image forming apparatus of Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 to include wherein the two antennas are disposed at positions where a first of the two

Art Unit: 2625

antennas compensates for degradation in radiation characteristics of a second of the two antennas caused by an apparatus as taught by Talwar '505 because it would be desirable to have an interference cancellation system which will minimize noise degradation of a receiver (column 3, lines 38-42).

(2) regarding claim 2:

Nakamura '854 further discloses wherein the wireless LAN module is provided on a control board that is disposed inside the rear surface of the main body of the image forming apparatus (Abstract, SOLUTION, lines 3-7, paragraph [0014], lines 1-2 and 112, in Fig. 1, where the control circuit contains the wireless LAN and is located at the back of the printer as could be referenced by looking at Fig 1 and looking at the control panel 113, generally at the front of a printer so users can have easy access to it).

(3) regarding claim 13:

Nakamura '854 further discloses wherein the rear surface of the main body is perpendicular to a ground surface upon which the image forming apparatus sits (111 in Drawing 2, as can be seen by the position of the antenna and the back face 110 of printer 1, it is clearly perpendicular to surface 91).

(4) regarding claim 3:

Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the two antennas respectively comprise a main antenna and a sub-antenna.

However, Talwar '505 teaches wherein the two antennas respectively comprise a main antenna (60 in Fig. 2) and a sub-antenna (66 in Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 2625

Having a system of Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 and then given the well-established teaching of Talwar '505 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image forming apparatus of Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 to include wherein the two antennas respectively comprise a main antenna and a sub-antenna as taught by Talwar '505 because it would be desirable to have an interference cancellation system which will minimize noise degradation of a receiver (column 3, lines 38-42).

(5) regarding claim 14:

Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the radiation characteristics are horizontal radiation characteristics.

However, Talwar '505 teaches wherein the radiation characteristics are horizontal radiation characteristics (as it is apparent in the drawings, Figs. 2-6, the two antennas are situated at the same level, thus picking up the signals at the same level, being interpreted as horizontal).

Having a system of Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 and then given the well-established teaching of Talwar '505 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image forming apparatus of Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 to include wherein the radiation characteristics are horizontal radiation characteristics as taught by Talwar '505 because it would be desirable to have an interference

Art Unit: 2625

cancellation system which will minimize noise degradation of a receiver (column 3, lines 38-42).

(6) regarding claim 15:

Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the two antennas are respectively arranged on left and right sides of the rear surface of the main body of the image forming apparatus.

However, Talwar '505 teaches wherein the two antennas are respectively arranged on left and right sides of the rear surface of the main body of the image forming apparatus (as it is apparent in the drawings, Figs. 2-6, the two antennas are situated at the same level, next to each other, so one is left (66) and the other right (60)).

Having a system of Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 and then given the well-established teaching of Talwar '505 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image forming apparatus of Nakamura '854, Lueker '105 and Tamagaki '924 to include wherein the two antennas are respectively arranged on left and right sides of the rear surface of the main body of the image forming apparatus as taught by Talwar '505 because it would be desirable to have an interference cancellation system which will minimize noise degradation of a receiver (column 3, lines 38-42).

 Claims 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura (JP 2002-086854) and Lueker (US 6,134,105) in view of Lynch et al. (US 6.069.587).

Art Unit: 2625

(1) regarding claim 4:

Nakamura '854 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the two antennas each comprise a dual-band antenna.

However, Lueker '105 teaches the image forming apparatus having two antennas (21 in Fig. 1 and column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 1, where the printer has three antennas attached (two included) for data communication).

Having a system of Nakamura '854 reference and then given the well-established teaching of Lueker '105 reference, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image forming apparatus of Nakamura '854 to include the image forming apparatus having two antennas as taught by Lueker '105 because it would be desirable to have available a single, easily transported command unit which provides a fully functional, easily deployed and immediately operable communications and information transfer capability to users in remote locations. This command center would replicate and provide the functionality of a home agency information and computing system to a user at a remote site (column 1, lines 57-63).

Nakamura '854 and Lueker '105 disclose all the subject matter as described above except wherein the two antennas each comprise a dual-band antenna.

However, Lynch '587 teaches wherein the two antennas each comprise a dualband antenna (10 in Fig. 1, column 3, lines 41-56).

Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the two antennas each comprise a dual-band antenna as

Art Unit: 2625

taught by Lynch '587 in the system of Nakamura '854 and Lueker '105. With this, when the MEM switches are open, electrical isolation is established between the antenna segments, thereby allowing the antenna to operate in one frequency range without interference from the other frequency ranges. Accordingly, the MEM switches couple additional segments to the antenna, thereby allowing the antenna to operate in different frequency ranges (column 2, lines 22-28).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ whose telephone number is (571)270-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30am - 6:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Poon can be reached on (571) 272-7440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,307 Page 11

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/King Y. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/Lennin R Rodriguez/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625